

upw



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,058	02/02/2001	Sarah M. Brandenberger	10002214-1	9353

7590 01/25/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
 Intellectual Property Administration
 P.O. Box 272400
 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

SELBY, GEVELL V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/776,058

Applicant(s)

BRANDENBERGER ET AL.

Examiner

Gevell Selby

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for claims 1-7 and 9-14.

2. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed on 9/22/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Adir, US 5,812,188.

The applicants submit the prior art does not disclose the limitations of:

1) "an image sensor sensing multi-color pixel data corresponding to said optical image" as claimed in claim 1;

2) "recording an image on electron media of said digital visual recording device which includes said combined filtering effects" as claimed in claim 15.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Examiner's Response:

The Cooper reference discloses an image sensor in the viewing head (18) of the endoscope (see column 30-33). The image sensor senses multicolor (red, blue and green light signal the make up the image) picture data of an image (see column 4, lines 43-47). The image sensor produces a multi-color image corresponding to the optical image when switch (F0) is opened and no color filtering is applied (see column 5, lines 39-44 and 56-58).

The Cooper reference discloses the filter selection circuitry coupled to the video processor (48) altering the signal from the image sensor to vary the color, sends the signal to the

Art Unit: 2615

A/D converter (50), and then stores the data in the respective DRAMs (54,56, and 58) or recording medium (see figure 2, column 3, lines 56-63 and column 5, lines 4-6).

Therefore the Cooper reference discloses all the limitations of claims 1, 15 and any incorporations of the claims into dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1, 5, 7, 15-17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooper et al. US 4,742,388.**

In regard to claim 1, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses a digital camera (see figure 2) comprising:

an optical lens system providing an optical image, an image sensor sensing multi-color pixel data corresponding to said optical image (see figure 2, element 72 and column (see column 3, lines 30-34);

an input device (see figure 1, element 16) configured to respond to a manual input selecting one of a plurality of image filters (see column 3, lines 42-47); and

Art Unit: 2615

a processor (see figure 2, element 48) configured to process said pixel data in response to said selected image filter to provide filtered image data (see column 5, line 63 to column 6, line 14).

In regard to claim 5, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1, wherein the input device includes menu options or a list of options (see figure 1, element 16).

In regard to claim 7, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1 wherein a subset of said plurality of image filters are selectable by said input device and said processor is configured to provide a composite filter effect in response to selected ones of said subset (see column 5, line 65 to column 6, line 14).

In regard to claim 15, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses a method of combining filter effects into digital photography, said method comprising:

selecting a first filter (red) on a digital recording device (see column 6, lines 10-21);

selecting a second filter (green or blue) on a digital recording device (see column 6, lines 10-21);

combining said first filter and said second filter to create a combined filtering effect (orange tint: see column 6, lines 10-21);

adjusting properties of said digital recording device to include combined filtering effects (see column 6, lines 10-21: Setting F2 to the incandescent light filter is adjusts the properties.); and

outputting an image on an electronic media of said digital visual recording device which includes said combined filtering effects (see column 3, lines 63-67);

recording an image on an electronic media (see figure 2, element 54, 55, and 56) of said digital visual recording device which includes said combined filtering effects (see column 4, line 60 to column 5, line 10).

In regard to claim 16, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses the method of claim 15. The Cooper reference discloses selecting is accomplished through a hierarchical menu, available before said image recording (see figure 1, element 16 and column 5, line 56 to column 6, line 21).

In regard to claim 17, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses the method of claim 15 wherein adjusting properties of said digital recording device includes providing a preview of said image which includes the filter effects (see column 3, lines 55-59 and figure 1, element 14: The images from the processor are continuously displayed on the on the monitor for viewing before or after filtering changes).

In regard to claim 19, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses the method of claim 15 wherein said filter effects include one of effects filters, technical filters, and correction filter (see column 6, lines 2-14).

In regard to claim 20, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses the method of claim 15 wherein said effect filters include variations in color intensity (column 6, lines 4-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Adair, US 5,812,188.**

In regard to claim 2, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1 further comprising: a display configured to provide a visual display of said filtered image data (see figure 1, element 14); but lacks wherein the input device is a touch sensitive overlay provided on said display.

Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses a digital camera with a touch screen display use to control the image of the monitor (see column 6, lines 22-27). The Adair reference teaches that either touch screen controls or a plurality of switches can be used as an input device (see column 3, lines 58-65). It would have been an obvious design decision for one of ordinary skill in the art to have been motivated to modify Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, to have a touch screen display to input controls as taught by Adair.

7. **Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Shiomi, US 6,650,361.**

Art Unit: 2615

In regard to claim 3, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1 but does not disclose the camera comprises:

an image storage configured to implement lossy compression of said filtered image data to provide compressed image data, and store said compressed image data.

Shiomi, US 6,650,361, discloses a digital camera that uses lossy compression as a compression method and then stores the image in a memory (see column 11, lines 56-58). If lossy compression is done using Discrete Cosine transform to transform and quantize image data in the respective blocks into two-dimensional frequency data, the image data volume can be greatly reduced (column 11, lines 59-65).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have been motivated to modify Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Shiomi, US 6,650,361, to use lossy compression and store the compress data in memory in order to reduce the image data volume to be stored as taught by Shiomi.

In regard to claim 4, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1 wherein the image sensor is a color solid state image sensor but does not specify that it is a Charged Coupled Device array (CCD). It is well known in the art to use CCD image sensor as the image sensor disclosed in the Shiomi reference (see column 2, lines 33-37). It would have been an obvious design decision for one of ordinary skill in the art to have been motivated to modify Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Shiomi, US 6,650,361, to have an CCD image sensor in order to capture the object image having desired resolutions as taught by Shiomi (see column 2, lines 33-37).

Art Unit: 2615

- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Safai et al., US 6,167,469.**

In regard to claim 6, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses the digital camera of claim 1 but lacks a voice processor configured to respond to voice commands.

Safai et al., US 6,167,469, discloses a digital camera including a microphone and CPU that can receive voice command and voice messages (see column 6, lines 19-27).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to have been motivated to modify Cooper et al, US 4,742,388 in view of Safai et al., US 6,167,469, to have a voice processor to receive commands from the user either way as taught by Safai.

- 9. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188.**

In regard to claim 8, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, discloses an apparatus for recording digital images (figure 1) comprising:

a menu (see figure 1, element 16) displaying a selection of a filter effect available on a color video endoscope system (see column 3, lines 46-47);

a processor (see figure 2, element 48) configured to perform an adjustment of the properties of said digital visual recording device to include selected ones of said filter effects (see column 5, lines 63 to column 6, lines 14); and

an output providing an electronic representation of said filtered image (see column 3, lines 18-20 and figure 1, element 14 or figure 2, element 54-56).

The Cooper reference does not disclose a graphic user interface menu.

Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses an endoscope monitor with a touch screen graphical user interface menu (56) that controls the image on the monitor (see column 6, lines 22-26)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, to have a graphical user interface menu, in order to control the endoscope from the screen.

In regard to claim 9, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the digital camera of claim 8. The Cooper reference discloses wherein said menu is configured to provide a hierarchical display of sad filter effects (see figure 1, elements F0/F1/F2/F3).

In regard to claim 10, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the apparatus of claim 8. The Cooper reference discloses wherein said processor is configured to provide a preview of a filtered image (see figure 1, element 14 and column 3, lines 55-59).

In regard to claim 11, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the apparatus of claim 8. The Cooper and Adair references lack wherein said output includes a removable data storage media capturing said electronic representation.

It is old and well known in the art that removable data storage media are used to store image data. Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the Cooper reference to have a removable

Art Unit: 2615

storage media to store the output image in order to be able to interchange storage media as well as transfer the image to other devices.

In regard to claim 12, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the apparatus of claim 8 wherein said filter effects include one of effects filters, technical filters and correction filters (see column 6, lines 2-14).

In regard to claim 13, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the apparatus of claim 8. The Cooper reference discloses wherein said filter effects include variations in color intensity (see column 5, line 45 to column 6, line 10).

In regard to claim 14, Cooper et al. US 4,742,388, in view of Adair, US 5,812,188, discloses the apparatus of claim 9. The Cooper reference discloses the processor selectively inhibits said filter effect in response to said input (see column 5, lines 56-61).

10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al., US 4,742,388.

In regard to claim 18, Cooper et al, US 4,742,388, discloses the method of claim 15. The Cooper reference lacks wherein said output includes a removable data storage media capturing said electronic representation.

It is old and well known in the art that removable data storage media are used to store image data. Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the Cooper reference to have a removable

Art Unit: 2615

storage media to store the output image in order to be able to interchange storage media as well as transfer the image to other devices.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 703-305-8623. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gvs



TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER